1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS		
2	EASTERN DIVISION		
3	JAMES DEAN, JR.		No. 09 C 4374
4	1	Plaintiff,	Chicago, Illinois
5			September 29, 2010 9:00 o'clock a.m
6	-VS-		
7	DRAPER & KRAMER, I	NC.,	
8	1	Defendant.	}
9	TDANCCE	OIDT OF DDOCED	ATNOS STATUS
10		RIPT OF PROCEED HE HONORABLE M:	ILTON I. SHADUR
11	APPEARANCES:		
12	For the Plaintiff:	GREENBERG 77 West Wa	TRAURIG, LLP
13		Suite 3100	
14		BY: MR. N	MATTHEW A.C. ZAPF MATTHEW S. GRAY
15	For the Defendant:	K&L GATES	
16	Tor cho borondare.		adison Street
17			111inois 60602 JOHN PEIRCE MORRISON
18		DI. III.	JOHN TEINGE HONKIGON
19			
20			
21			
22			
23	Court Reporter:	ROSEMARY S	SCARPELLI Dearborn Street
24		Room 2304/	4
25		Chicago, 3 (312) 435	Illinois 60604 -5815

1 THE COURT: Oh, what happened to -- Wendy was 2 supposed to give me the --3 Good morning, your Honor, Matthew Zapf. MR. ZAPF: 4 THE COURT: Wait, wait, wait. Wait just a minute. 5 MR. ZAPF: I am sorry. 6 (Discussion held off the record.) 7 THE COURT: Hold for just a second, please. 8 (Brief pause.) 9 THE COURT: Thank you. Okay. Now, I am sorry, 10 would you just all reflect your appearances, please. 11 MR. ZAPF: Matthew Zapf and Matt Gray. We also 12 have Mr. James Dean with us. 13 MR. MORRISON: I am Peirce Morrison on behalf of 14 the defendant. 15 THE COURT: Good morning. You know, when I got 16 this motion to withdraw, I went back to my file and I 17 dictated a memorandum order. The only thing that I did not 18 include in it was setting a next date for status because what 19 I have done in here is to explain to Mr. Dean that there is 20 no right to have free counsel appointed in civil cases. We 21 are the -- literally the only district in the country that 22 has the trial bar as our captives, with a condition that 23 membership in the trial bar requires counsel to be prepared 24 to take on pro bono assignments. And we do that, you know, 25 because, as I say in this memorandum order, typically

everybody is better off. The plaintiff is better off, the defendants are better off and the Court is better off if we have lawyer to lawyer rather than nonlawyer to lawyer on opposite sides of the versus sign.

So what I have said in this memorandum order is that you have exhausted the goodwill in terms of appointments of counsel. I never get into what it is that creates the inability of counsel and clients to get along. I have always likened that to no fault divorce. It doesn't matter which side of the thing is -- has led to the unhappiness. The 13th Amendment precludes holding lawyers hostage to clients and vice versa. That is easy when people are retained. But when they are appointed, when our rules specifically say that lawyers can come in and say we are not really communicating in an effective way and for whatever reason we would appreciate being relieved of our responsibilities.

Short answer is I am relieving them of their responsibilities. And from now on you go it alone. And the only question that I have left over and will be -- I am entering this memorandum order, which is -- says pretty much what I have just outlined orally. I would set a next status hearing date and find out what developments have taken place in the meantime.

Now, let me just ask counsel for the defendant what kind of time frame would seem to make sense for that purpose

1 from your point of view? And then I will ask Mr. Dean. 2 MR. MORRISON: We do have an October 20th status 3 date set from -- I believe from looking at the file. 4 THE COURT: So we will keep that. 5 MR. MORRISON: I think that is probably the 6 appropriate time frame. I will note that we have 7 outstanding discovery. This case is 14 months old. 8 I am well aware. THE COURT: 9 MR. DEAN: It is much more than 14 months old. 10 is more than three years old. 11 THE COURT: 09 C 4374. 12 MR. MORRISON: It is 14 months almost to the day. 13 And this is one of the problems we -- I am sure we are going 14 to have with counsel with -- with the plaintiff now saying it 15 is three years old. It is not. There is an EEOC complaint 16 that is that old but not the lawsuit. 17 MR. DEAN: No, sir. There is a -- excuse me. I 18 seem to have to correct this gentleman because Mr. -- his 19 attorney, Mr. -- I have forgotten his name. We did --20 THE COURT: Alan Serwer? 21 MR. DEAN: No, prior to him. 22 When I filed my complaint at the -- at the Illinois 23 Department of Human Rights, which was filed in 2007 -- and 24 they have a duplicate file at the EEOC. I think that is what 25 he is referring to.

THE COURT: This		
MR. DEAN: After two and a half years of, quote		
unquote, investigation, then it became		
THE COURT: Mr. Dean Mr. Dean, this lawsuit was		
filed on July 21.		
MR. DEAN: Yes, I am aware of that.		
THE COURT: July 21, 2009. So don't tell counsel,		
no, it is not 14 months. It happens to be about		
MR. DEAN: Okay.		
THE COURT: 14.		
MR. DEAN: This seems to be semantics.		
MR. MORRISON: Fifteen.		
THE COURT: You know		
MR. MORRISON: So if I may continue.		
MR. DEAN: Sure, you may.		
MR. MORRISON: I anticipate having serious problems		
with Mr. Dean.		
MR. DEAN: You certainly will have serious problems		
with me, I can assure you.		
THE COURT: Mr. Dean, please do not interrupt.		
MR. DEAN: Sure.		
THE COURT: You know, if you are operating on your		
own, I expect you in some respects to act as a responsible		
lawyer would do. And one of the operative rules is when		
other people are talking, you don't get in the way.		

1 MR. DEAN: Sure. I apologize. THE COURT: Okay? Because you will get your turn 2 3 if you wish. 4 MR. DEAN: Thank you. 5 THE COURT: By the way, let's -- before we go on 6 with this, I have granted your motion, so you are free to 7 return to active duty. 8 MR. DEAN: Certainly. 9 MR. ZAPF: Thank you, your Honor. 10 MR. GRAY: Thank you. 11 MR. MORRISON: There is some out -- there is 12 discovery that we waited to tender until we found out what 13 the first amended complaint would be. You may recall that 14 the -- there was a first amended complaint that was --15 THE COURT: I remember. 16 MR. MORRISON: -- noticed up but not filed. 17 officially filed in July, and we answered within ten days. 18 And the following day we tendered interrogatories and 19 requests to produce documents. They are now just about three 20 weeks overdue. We had granted counsel an extension of two 21 weeks. And I believe the response was due either Monday or 22 Friday. We are preparing a motion to compel, at which point 23 we got this motion for leave to withdraw. 24 THE COURT: Okay. 25 MR. MORRISON: We did not want to pile on at the

time. 1 THE COURT: What I am going to do -- what is the --2 3 what does the requested discovery consist of? 4 MR. MORRISON: There are 19 interrogatories, I 5 believe, and -- and requests to produce documents. 6 THE COURT: Okay. What I will do is I will give 7 Mr. Dean three weeks to comply. That means that -- because I 8 do that in light of the October 20th date. That happens to 9 be exactly three weeks from now. So you are going to comply 10 with the outstanding discovery on or before October 20th, and 11 I expect to see you back here at 9:00 o'clock on that day so 12 we can see where we go from here in the lawsuit. 13 MR. DEAN: Judge Shadur, I have complied. And they 14 gave to me a date of the 22nd as the date of answering these 15 interrogatories, which were answered more than a month ago. 16 And I honestly believe, if I can interject, the reason that 17 this counsel is being -- has graciously relieved themselves 18 from representing me is purely political. It has nothing at 19 all to do with me missing time lines or not turning over 20 information, because I have readily done that --21 I am not making --THE COURT: 22 MR. DEAN: -- for quite a long while. 23 THE COURT: I am not making -- wait a minute. 24 not making any ruling as to compliance or noncompliance. 25 What I am saying is that by that date you have to comply with

any outstanding discovery requests. 1 2 MR. DEAN: Yes, I have, sir. 3 THE COURT: And if you say that you have done it, 4 then I guess you --5 MR. MORRISON: Then we will have a serious motion 6 for a default judgment at that time because it hasn't been 7 given to us. 8 THE COURT: Showing -- you have to make a showing 9 that it has been done. And I will see you then on 10 October 20th. 11 In the meantime, Mr. Dean, I think we probably --12 although I haven't gone back to the file. When you filed 13 originally pro se, I assume you listed an address on the --14 on the complaint, right? 15 MR. DEAN: Yes. I did. 16 THE COURT: Okay. And that address still remains 17 in effect? 18 MR. DEAN: I have -- I don't know if I listed my 19 Post Office box, which is what all of my mailings, whether it 20 is legal or not, should --21 THE COURT: Why don't you give -- why don't you 22 give that information to Sandy. She will make sure that the 23 Clerk's Office has that as your address. 24 I think his address -- when I checked THE CLERK: vesterday, his address is a Post Office box. 25

1	MR. DEAN: And it has been. So there has never		
2	been any discussion as to where something that is intended		
3	for me should go.		
4	THE COURT: Fine. So that will be the address to		
5	which your copy of this memorandum order is going to be		
6	mailed.		
7	MR. MORRISON: Could we also can you ask him to		
8	provide me with his phone number and e-mail address.		
9	MR. DEAN: I did. And I will do it again, just as		
10	he has requested.		
11	THE COURT: Why don't you sit down and do it now,		
12	you know.		
13	MR. MORRISON: Sure.		
14	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.		
15	MR. DEAN: I do have a question, if it is at all		
16	possible to ask.		
17	THE COURT: Yeah. Go ahead.		
18	MR. DEAN: Well, I have been trained in fair		
19	housing and also, as you well know, I am not trained in in		
20	this legal process in Federal Court. But I have been		
21	misrepresented, mischaracterized and slandered by their by		
22	this client and by it seems their newly-employed attorney		
23	that formerly represented me, Mr		
24	THE COURT: What happened is that the original		
25	MR. DEAN: Yes, sir, but when I requested		

THE COURT: Wait just a minute. The original 1 2 appointee --3 MR. DEAN: Began work for them. 4 THE COURT: Will -- if you will let me finish. 5 There is another part of the rules. 6 MR. DEAN: Well, I don't know the rules. 7 THE COURT: When I am talking, don't interrupt me 8 either. 9 MR. DEAN: I am sorry. I truly apologize. 10 THE COURT: 0kay? 11 MR. DEAN: I am sorry. 12 The original lawyer who represented THE COURT: 13 you, when counsel went back and changed law firms, discovered 14 as a result of a conflict check that their office had also 15 represented Draper & Kramer. And it was for that reason that 16 the lawyer had to withdraw because of the fact that although 17 Draper & Kramer was willing to waive the conflict and -- let 18 me explain something about that because you are a nonlawyer. 19 You know, law firms are not anymore two-lawyer 20 What happens is that if an office has represented a 21 particular client, that does not mean that the particular 22 lawyer has had the slightest bit to do with that client. So 23 it is entirely possible to -- for a conflict of that nature 24 to be waived, that is, not to be advanced because the lawyer 25

in that situation is obligated to insulate himself or herself

1 2 3

from anything having to do with that client. And lawyers have a responsibility to represent the clients that they have.

I am not saying this critically. You are not obligated to agree to a waiver of the conflict, but I wanted to explain that that kind of waiver is not something that somehow has anything suspicious attached to it. On the contrary, it happens a great deal. And that is the reason that law offices have what are called conflict checks because an individual lawyer may have no knowledge at all about such representation. And that is especially true if what you have is a lawyer who is going from Firm A to Firm B and doesn't know anything about what Firm B has on its plate or has had on its plate.

So don't characterize that as somehow something that casts aspersions on the lawyer involved or in any way is a suspicious factor.

Now what is your question?

MR. DEAN: Well, I understand that there are processes in place to mitigate these sorts of instances, but it does not excuse the fact that when this lawyer, Mr. Falbe, informed me that there were no conflicts and -- and requested that I send my file from Drinker Biddle & Reed to his new law firm, he assured me that there were no conflicts and --

THE COURT: Well, how does he know that?

1 MR. DEAN: He assured me because he said that --THE COURT: Wait just a minute. 2 3 MR. DEAN: Well, I am --THE COURT: You know -- now wait a minute. I have 4 5 tried very patiently to explain. 6 MR. DEAN: And I understand what you have 7 communicated. 8 THE COURT: Law firms -- law firms of size -- and, 9 unfortunately, the tendency is toward greater size rather 10 than the other way around. 11 MR. DEAN: But that is not --12 THE COURT: Law firms of size have to have 13 procedures like that. And the individual lawyer may 14 unquestionably -- especially a lawyer who is switching firms 15 has just no way of knowing that until whoever it is that is 16 responsible for doing the mechanics and the electronics of 17 the conflict check has completed that. MR. DEAN: With all due respect, sir, he informed 18 19 me that that had been done prior to me releasing my file to 20 him and after two weeks of perusing my file and making it 21 available to whomever is in that law firm. He informed me --22 THE COURT: Oh, come on. That is really --23 MR. DEAN: No, sir, he informed that there is a conflict. When I requested for the file to be sent over, it 24 25 arrived incomplete.

THE COURT: Mr. Dean, you want your -- you want 1 your complaint to be dismissed? I am trying to --2 For what reason, sir? 3 MR. DEAN: 4 THE COURT: Now wait a minute. Wait a minute. You 5 have made an accusation that the lawyer involved shared that 6 with others in the firm. You haven't the slightest basis for 7 making that accusation. 8 MR. DEAN: Well, sir, if I can --9 THE COURT: I am not going to entertain it and I am 10 not going to listen to you interrupting me. Now, you want --11 you want this lawsuit to be dismissed and you want to take it 12 upstairs to the Court of Appeals, you know, you are headed on 13 that way. And I don't want to do that. But I got to tell 14 you this much lip on your part is inappropriate. 15 MR. DEAN: Sir, I am speaking to you and asking you 16 a question. 17 THE COURT: That is enough. 18 MR. DEAN: And I am not --19 THE COURT: No, you haven't asked me a question at 20 all. 21 MR. DEAN: Well, I was trying to preface my 22 question, but you have become angry with me for some reason. 23 And I don't know why I have been threatened with it -- with 24 my case being dismissed because the facts have not changed. 25 And during discovery we will surely be able to verify all

that I have claimed and more.

THE COURT: No, you are not going to engage in discovery on that subject because that lawyer is out of the case.

MR. DEAN: Well, sir, I asked my current attorneys to send over a copy of my file so that I can answer their interrogatories, and the file that arrived was incredibly incomplete. And when I asked them why that file arrived in my possession incomplete, they said, "This is what was sent to us from the previous law firm." And I know what I have provided, and I can again provide that same information. So this is not something that I am making up. And I am certainly not here to take advantage of the Court or waste our time or money because I am a taxpayer too. And believe me, I have many more things to do with my life than to continue this farcical game that I have been privy to, unfortunately, for speaking up for people who are not capable of defending themselves in court.

I am not a lawyer, but I soon shall be. And as soon as I have freed myself from dealing with this issue, I will be able to begin my course in becoming a lawyer so that I can defend people that need help, people that are like me and others.

THE COURT: And your question? You said -MR. DEAN: Why is it a statement for withdrawal can

be sent to my e-mail stating things that are untrue but are accepted as being true by the Court? And I was given a phone call from the Judge's chamber, which is unusual, considering I have been doing this for -- how long has it -- a year and a half now?

MR. MORRISON: Fourteen months and 7 days.

MR. DEAN: I have not received a call in that manner. I have received correspondence in the mail.

THE COURT: You think that is suspicious too; you think my staff is engaged in inappropriate conduct?

MR. DEAN: No, no. If I had not been here, if I had been traveling, because I have been waiting for my -- my legal counsel, my former legal counsel, to respond to me. They gave me a deadline of the 22nd. And I waited patiently for them to respond. They said Draper and Kramer would have a response by the 22nd. And my response from them was a withdrawal at 5:00 o'clock p.m. on Monday. And then when I tried to reach them and ask why they would state things that are obviously not true because I have complied in every way -- and I have been forced to trust their judgment. And they have chosen what to hold these individuals accountable for and I have no say in that.

THE COURT: Mr. Dean, I guess you weren't listening when I began, because when I get from either a client or a lawyer a statement that says, we are not getting along or

something along those lines, you see, the lawyer-client privilege is something that --

MR. DEAN: I understand.

THE COURT: -- prevents me, and properly prevents me, from going behind that and saying, "What have you people been talking about or not talking about?" And it is for that reason, whenever there is an assertion of that kind by either a client or a lawyer, the -- my uniform practice always is to grant the separation. That is the reason I liken it to no fault divorce because it doesn't matter if there is fault or not.

MR. DEAN: Right.

THE COURT: The -- I can't -- I can't bond a client to a lawyer or a lawyer to a client if either one is not happy with the relationship. So it is a matter -- it is not a matter of accepting what has been said as true, except for the fact that parties are not getting along. That I have to accept because if either side tells me that, I can't go behind that statement.

MR. DEAN: Okay. Well, I understand that. I truly do.

THE COURT: So your -- it is -- let me assure you I didn't -- I have not given any kind of particular credit to an assertion and certainly not as to the grounds for the claimed unhappiness. If either side tells me that we are not

happy with continuing, that concludes it for me, for the 1 2 Court. 3 MR. DEAN: I understand that. 4 THE COURT: And that is proper. 5 MR. DEAN: Understand, sir. 6 THE COURT: All right. So that is the answer to 7 that. Anyway, I will be issuing this memorandum order and 8 you will be getting it by mail, counsel will be getting it 9 electronically. 10 Thank you. 11 MR. DEAN: And so this memorandum states that I 12 must find an attorney to represent me and -- in this matter? 13 THE COURT: No, it is -- you have -- here, let me 14 -- let me just read you what I have said, although it is 15 obviously going to have to be changed because we are using an 16 already set date, but I re -- the last sentence had been, 17 "This Court has set a next status hearing for" blank "a.m., October" blank, "2010" -- now, listen to this -- "at which 18 19 time Dean is ordered either to appear on his own behalf or if 20 he has retained counsel in the interim, to cause the retained 21 counsel to appear." So it is entirely up to you. You can either 22 23 proceed yourself or if you could get a lawyer, fine. Okay? 24 Thank you. 25 MR. DEAN: Thank you.

1	MR. MORRISON: Thank you, your Honor.				
2	(Which were all the proceedings heard.)				
3	CERTIFICATE				
4	I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript				
5	from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.				
6					
7	s/Rosemary Scarpelli/ Date: March 3, 2011				
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					